









cempl	ary Haz	ard R	lisk Matri	X		
	Hazard	Hazard Severity				
Hazard Probabili	CATAST	ROPHIC	HAZARDOUS	MARGINAL	NEGLIGIBLE	
Frequent		4	А	В	С	
Probable		4	В	В	С	
Occasiona	I E	3	В	С	D	
Remote	E	3	С	D	D	
Improbable	e (	:	D	D	D	
Incredible	1	)	D	D	D	
	Risk Class		Interpretation			
A B C		Undesirab	Intolerable Undesirable and shall only be accepted when risk reduction is impracticable			
		Tolerable the interna	Tolerable with the endorsement of either the Project Manager together with the internal ordering party or the Safety Director			
D		Acceptable	Acceptable with the endorsement of the normal project reviews			



















































FH CAMPUS WIEN > VIENNA INSTITUTE FOR SAFETY & SYSTEMS ENGINEERING   UNIVERSITY OF APPLIED SCIENCES	FREQUENTIS				
Cybersafety & Security Assessment					
Security zones through po > Classifying network zones security analysis	erimeter functions necessary s is a great instrument in the course of				
> Physical and logical separation of parts of cyberspace by perimeters					
> Shared and public zone are of <b>special interest</b>					
> Private zone is the most p of other zones	protected because of the security measures				
Erstellt von: Gabriele Schedl					

Security 2	Zones	
Security Zones	Assumptions	Security Ris
Public	Non-trusted environment (e.g. Service Provider, 3 <sup>rd</sup> party) No control over technology No dedicated resources available (worst case is best effort)	High
Shared	Trusted environment but not under full control Resources are shared with other (e.g. radar devices) M Increased risk of insider attacks	
Private	Control over technology Dedicated resources (bandwidth, quality of service) Devices and personnel fully trusted	Low



C FH SAFETY & SYSTEMS ENGINEERING UNVERSITY OF APPLIED SCIENCES Example FMEA			F		
Id	Function	Asset	HAZOP Guideword	Failure Mode	Hazard
1	IP based audio transmission (RTP, RTSP, SIP)	Information in transport	No/Not	No audio transmission possible	Loss/degradation of telephone functionality
				FMEA Functional Failure Modes an HAZOP Defense Standard 00-58 RTP Real-Time Transport Protoco RTSP Real-Time Streaming Proto SIP Session Initiation Protocol	nd Effects Analysis I col
Ersi	tellt von: Gabriele Schedl				



CAMPUS VIENNA INSTITUTE FOR SAFETY & SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	FREQUENTIS				
Example Security Analysis					
Id Threat	Example				
1 Denial of Service (DoS)	RTP flooding, SIP Proxy DoS, SIP invite flooding				
Mapping of security threats to safety analysis Link between security threat and safety hazard established					
	RTP Realtime Transport Protocol SIP Session Initiation Procotol DoS Denial of Service				
Erstellt von: Gabriele Schedl					











 KIENNA INSTITUTE FOR SAFETY & SYSTEMS ENGINEERING

## FREQUENTIS

## Conclusion

Awareness for Cybersafey must be raised

- > Security threats may lead to safety hazards
- > Safety requirements may overrule security claims, but security affects safety

## Risks of cyberspace must be taken into account

> Security threats should be considered within safety analysis for transparency

FMEA method has been extended for **security** 

> Information can be shared between safety & security analysis

**Cybersafety** has high value for safety systems and thus for our **society** 

> Cooperation with security professionals for combined assessments recommended

Erstellt von: Gabriele Schedl